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## Lecture 5 Outline

- Some more quantum mechanics refresher
- Density of States in different dimensionalities
- Perturbation theory in quantum mechanical applications
- Time-independent Perturbation
- Time-dependent perturbation and Fermi Golden rule

Quantum wells are very important in optoelectronics

Infinite quantum well


Infinite quantum well - The solution in each region has the form of two counter-propagating plane waves

$$
\Psi(x)=A \mathrm{e}^{i k x}+B \mathrm{e}^{-i k x}, \quad \text { where } \quad k=\sqrt{\frac{2 m^{*}}{\hbar^{2}}(E-V)}
$$

The constants $A$ and $B$ are determined by applications of boundary conditions. In Region 1 and $3, k$ is purely imaginary (evanescent wave) and there is only a forward wave in region 3 and a backward wave in region 1. However, because the potential is infinite, there is no wave penetration and the wave function must be zero at the boundaries of the well.

Solution:

$$
k=\frac{n \pi}{L} \quad E_{n}=\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} \hbar^{2}}{2 L^{2} m^{*}}
$$

Combination of the two waves give a cosine standing wave for odd integer and a sine standing wave for even integer

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi(x)=C \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{L} x\right) \quad \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} C^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{L} x\right) d x=1, \quad C=\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \\
\Psi(x)= \begin{cases}\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \cos \left(\frac{n \pi}{L} x\right), & \mathrm{n}=1,3,5, \ldots \\
\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{L} x\right), & \mathrm{n}=2,4,6, \ldots\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Example
$L=10 \mathrm{~nm}$
$m=0.066 m_{o}$


Finite square well
$-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m^{*}} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} \Psi(x)+V(x) \Psi(x)=E_{n} \Psi(x)$

$$
V(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
V_{0}, & \text { for } & |x| \leq \frac{L}{2} \\
0, & \text { for } & |x|>\frac{L}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$



## Boundary conditions

(i) continuity of the wavefunction across the boundary.
(ii) continuity of the electric current across the boundary.

Current carried by one electron

$$
I=-q v=-q \frac{m^{*} v}{m^{*}}=-q \frac{\hbar k}{m^{*}}=i q \frac{\hbar}{m^{*}} \frac{d}{d x} \Psi(x)
$$

Trick: Substitute crystal momentum with quantum-mechanical momentum operator

Boundary condition conserving electric current

$$
\frac{1}{m_{W}^{*}} \frac{d}{d x} \Psi_{r e g i o n I I}(x)_{x=\frac{L}{2}}=\frac{1}{m_{B}^{*}} \frac{d}{d x} \Psi_{\text {regionIII }}(x)_{x=\frac{L}{2}}
$$

Application of Boundary conditions

$$
x=\frac{L}{2}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
C e^{i k_{2}^{L}}+D e^{-i k \frac{L}{2}}=F e^{-\kappa \frac{L}{2}} \frac{i k}{m_{W}^{*}}\left(C e^{i k_{2}^{L}}-D e^{-i k \frac{L}{2}}\right)=-\frac{\kappa}{m_{B}^{*}} F e^{-\kappa \frac{\kappa_{2}^{2}}{2}} \\
\frac{i k}{m_{W}^{*}}\left(C e^{i k L}-D\right)=-\frac{\kappa}{m_{B}^{*}}\left(C e^{i k L}+D\right) \\
\downarrow \\
C e^{i k L}\left(\kappa m_{W}^{*}+i k m_{B}^{*}\right)+D\left(\kappa m_{W}^{*}-i k m_{B}^{*}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
x=-\frac{L}{2}
$$

Using the same procedure
$C e^{-i k L}\left(\kappa m_{W}^{*}-i k m_{B}^{*}\right)+D\left(\kappa m_{W}^{*}+i k m_{B}^{*}\right)=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C e^{i k L}\left(\kappa m_{W}^{*}+i k m_{B}^{*}\right)+D\left(\kappa m_{W}^{*}-i k m_{B}^{*}\right) & =0 \\
C e^{-i k L}\left(\kappa m_{W}^{*}-i k m_{B}^{*}\right)+D\left(\kappa m_{W}^{*}+i k m_{B}^{*}\right) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution exists if the determinant of coefficients is zero

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
2 i\left(\kappa m_{W}^{*}\right)^{2} \sin (k L)+4 i k m_{B}^{*} \kappa m_{W}^{*} \cos (k L)-2 i\left(k m_{B}^{*}\right)^{2} \sin (k L)=0 \\
\downarrow & k=\frac{\sqrt{2 m_{W}^{*} E}}{\hbar} \\
\cot (k L)=\frac{\left(k m_{B}^{*}\right)^{2}-\left(\kappa m_{W}^{*}\right)^{2}}{2 k m_{B}^{*} \kappa m_{W}^{*}} & \kappa=\frac{\sqrt{2 m_{B}^{*}\left(V_{0}-E\right)}}{\hbar}
\end{array}
$$

The equation gives the energy of the quantized states in the well. It can be solved by numerical iteration to a desired accuracy. It can also be solved graphically.


$$
L=10 \mathrm{~nm} \quad-\cot (k L) \quad \frac{\left(k m_{B}^{*}\right)^{2}-\left(\kappa m_{W}^{*}\right)^{2}}{2 k m_{B}^{*} \kappa m_{W}^{*}}
$$

## Comparison between wells

$L=10 \mathrm{~nm}$

|  | $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{1}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{2}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{3}(\mathrm{eV})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Infinite well | $\infty$ | - | 0.066 | 0.056 | 0.223 | 0.502 |
| Finite well | 0.25 eV | 0.092 | 0.066 | 0.03 | 0.121 | 0.245 |

In many practical situations relevant for optoelectronics, quantum wells have only several energy levels.

In realistic conditions, there is a field across quantum well, due to applied potentials, differences in electron affinity between the heterostructure materials which define the well, and to the charge distribution associated with the wave functions and with ionized impurities, as well.

The time-independent Schrödinger equation should be solved simultaneously with the Poisson equation for a self-consistent solution.

In addition, there may be onset of quantum Stark effect which tends to separate energy levels in confined spaces when an electric field is applied. The energy shift can be calculated by perturbation theory.

Example: Voltage drop of 0.1 V across the 10 nm well examined earlier, cause an electric field of $10^{5} \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

The estimate for shift in energy caused by Stark effect is about 2 meV .



Transmission coefficient - analytical result for rectangular well

$$
T=\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2}(\kappa L)+\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\kappa m_{1}^{*}}{k m_{2}^{*}}-\frac{k m_{2}^{*}}{\kappa m_{1}^{*}}\right)^{2} \sinh ^{2}(\kappa L)}
$$

## Tunneling

Case I $m_{1}^{*}=m_{2}^{*}=9 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg}$
the barrier width is 10 nm and the barrier height is 0.25 eV


## Tunneling
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## Tunneling

Case II $m_{1}^{*} \neq m_{2}^{*} \quad m_{1}^{*}=9 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg} . m_{2}^{*}=0.066 m_{1}^{*}$. the barrier width is 10 nm and the barrier height is 0.25 eV


## Tunneling

Case II $m_{1}^{*} \neq m_{2}^{*} \quad m_{1}^{*}=9 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg} . m_{2}^{*}=0.066 m_{1}^{*}$. the barrier width is 10 nm and the barrier height is 0.25 eV


## Tunneling in reverse biased p-n junctions



## Simple model for tunneling current (GaAs)

tunneling current $\quad J_{\text {Tunn }}=n q v_{\text {sat }}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
n=N_{V} T \\
v_{\text {sat }}=1.2 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

$N_{V}=4$ valence electrons/atom $\times 8$ atoms/unit-cell/unit-cell volume

$$
=1.7 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}
$$

transmission coefficient

$$
T=\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2}(\kappa L)+\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\kappa m_{1}^{*}}{k m_{2}^{*}}-\frac{k m_{2}^{*}}{\kappa m_{1}^{*}}\right)^{2} \sinh ^{2}(\kappa L)}
$$

(Approximation: this is for a rectangular well. Well is actually triangular)

Simple model (GaAs)
electrons at the valence band edge have thermal energy

$$
E=\frac{3}{2} k_{B} \bar{T}=\frac{\hbar^{2} k^{2}}{2 m^{*}}
$$

where $\bar{T}$ is the temperature
barrier height $\quad V_{0}=E_{g}=1.43 \mathrm{eV}$
approximate average wave vector in the forbidden gap

$$
\kappa=\frac{1}{\hbar} \sqrt{2 m^{*}\left(E_{g}-k_{B} \bar{T}\right)} \text { and }|\kappa|=1.4 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \gg|k|
$$

width of the barrier

$$
\mathcal{E}=\frac{E_{g} / q}{L}=\sqrt{\frac{2 q N_{D} V}{\varepsilon \varepsilon_{0}}}, \quad \text { where } \quad V=V_{\text {Applied }}+\phi_{\text {built-in }}
$$

(Assume one sided junction with $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{D}}=10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ and reverse bias $\mathrm{V}=-1 \mathrm{~V}$ )

$$
L=\frac{E_{G}}{\sqrt{\frac{2 q N_{D} V}{\varepsilon \varepsilon_{0}}}}=2.7 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m} \quad \text { Note: } \kappa L \gg 1
$$

## Simple model (GaAs)

set effective masses $\quad m_{1}^{*}=m_{2}^{*}=m^{*}$
approximate transmission coefficient since $\quad \kappa L \gg 1$
$T=\frac{1}{\cosh ^{2}(\kappa L)+\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\kappa m_{*}^{*}}{k m_{2}^{*}}-\frac{k m_{2}^{*}}{k m_{1}^{*}}\right)^{2} \sinh ^{2}(\kappa L)} \cong 16 e^{-2 \kappa L}\left(\frac{k \kappa}{k^{2}+\kappa^{2}}\right)^{2} \cong 16\left[\frac{k}{\kappa}\right]^{2} e^{-2 \kappa L}$
also
$\kappa L=\frac{E_{G}}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2 m^{*} E_{G} \varepsilon \varepsilon_{0}}{2 q N_{D} V}}=C_{0} V^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad\left(\frac{k}{\kappa}\right)^{2} \cong \frac{3 k_{B} \bar{T}}{2 E_{g}}$, where $\bar{T}$ is the temperature

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { rally } & T \cong 16\left(\frac{3 k_{B} \bar{T}}{2 E_{g}}\right) e^{-2 C_{0} V^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \\
J_{\text {tunneling }} \cong 16 N_{V} q v_{\text {sat }}\left(\frac{3 k_{B} \bar{T}}{2 E_{g}}\right) e^{-2 C_{0} V^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \mathrm{amps}-\mathrm{cm}^{-2}
\end{array}
$$

## Result from a simple tunneling model



## Density of States

$$
\begin{gathered}
g(E) \propto\left(E-E_{0}\right)^{d / 2-1} \\
d=1,2, \text { or } 3
\end{gathered}
$$



## 2D Density of States

$$
g(k) d k=\frac{2 \pi k d k}{4 \pi^{2}}=\frac{k d k}{2 \pi}
$$

$$
k=\frac{\sqrt{2 m^{*} E}}{\hbar} \quad d k=\frac{\sqrt{2 m^{*}}}{\hbar} \frac{d E}{2 \sqrt{E}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{k d k}{2 \pi} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{\sqrt{2 m^{*} E}}{\hbar} \frac{\sqrt{2 m^{*}}}{\hbar} \frac{d E}{2 \sqrt{E}} \\
& =\frac{m^{*}}{2 \pi} \frac{d E}{\hbar^{2}}=g(E) d E
\end{aligned}
$$


$\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}}$

$$
\Rightarrow g(E)=\underset{\text { spin }}{2} \cdot \frac{m^{*}}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{\hbar^{2}}=\frac{m^{*}}{\pi \hbar^{2}}
$$

(\# allowed k-states per unit area)

A perfect 2D electron gas is approximated by a sheet of graphene, for instance. Often a quasi-2D electron gas is realized with a double heterojunction where a material with smaller bandgap is sandwiched between layers with larger bandgap, forming a quantum well of width $L_{z}$.


A perfect 2D electron gas is approximated by a sheet of graphene, for instance. Often a quasi-2D electron gas is realized with a double heterojunction where a material with smaller bandgap is sandwiched between layers with larger bandgap, forming a quantum well of width $L_{z}$.


Carrier Density

$$
\begin{aligned}
n= & \frac{2}{V} \sum_{\vec{k}} f(E) \rightarrow \sum_{m} \frac{2}{V} \sum_{k_{x}} \sum_{k_{y}} f(E) \\
& \text { separate quantized direction }
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that each quantum level functions as a "virtual" conduction band level associated with a parabolic band for the transverse momentum (with $x$ and $y$ components)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=E_{m}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{e}^{*}} k_{t, m}^{2} \\
& k_{t, m}^{2}=\frac{2 m_{e}^{*}}{\hbar^{2}}\left(E-E_{m}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad E \geq E_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
n=\sum_{m} \frac{2}{V} \sum_{k_{x}} \sum_{k_{y}} f(E) \rightarrow \sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} 2 \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} L_{z}} f(E) 2 \pi k_{t, m} d k_{t, m} \\
k=\frac{\sqrt{2 m^{*} E}}{\hbar} d k=\frac{\sqrt{2 m^{*}}}{\hbar} \frac{d E}{2 \sqrt{E}} \\
\sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi L_{z}} f(E) k_{t, m} d k_{t, m}=\sum_{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{m_{e}^{*}}{\pi L_{z} \hbar^{2}} H\left(E-E_{m}\right) f(E) d E \\
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{m_{e}^{*}}{\pi L_{z} \hbar^{2}} \sum_{m} H\left(E-E_{m}\right) f(E) d E
\end{gathered}
$$



## 1D Density of States

(length of increments $d k$ )

$k=\frac{\sqrt{2 m^{*} E}}{\hbar} \quad d k=\frac{\sqrt{2 m^{*}}}{\hbar} \frac{d E}{2 \sqrt{E}}$
$g(k) d k=\frac{2 d k}{2 \pi}=\frac{d k}{\pi}$
$\frac{2 d k}{2 \pi}=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2 m^{*}}}{\hbar} \frac{d E}{2 \sqrt{E}}=g(E) d E$
$\Rightarrow g(E)=\underset{\text { spin }}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2 m^{*}}}{\hbar} \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{E}}=\frac{\sqrt{2 m^{*}}}{\pi \hbar} \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}}$


## Perturbation theory

Simple quantum mechanical problems (e.g. rectangular quantum well) can be solved exactly, either analytically or numerically. The majority of problems for general systems, however, cannot be solved exactly.

Perturbation theory is an approach to deal with those cases that can be considered small deformations of systems we can solve exactly. We are going to consider a Hamiltonian operator (for simplicity eigenvalues are non-degenerate)


Consider the time-independent Schrödinger equation in shorthand form for the Hamiltonian operator $\boldsymbol{H}=\boldsymbol{H}^{(0)}+\lambda \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}$

$$
\boldsymbol{H} \psi=E \psi
$$

We assume that we can solve the equation for $H^{(0)}$ and that we know the corresponding eigenvalues and eigenfunctions.

$$
H^{(0)} \varphi_{n}^{(0)}=E_{n}^{(0)} \varphi_{n}^{(0)}
$$

Since $\lambda$ is a small parameter, we can expand those solutions in series.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=E^{(0)}+\lambda E^{(1)}+\lambda^{2} E^{(2)}+\cdots \\
& \psi=\psi^{(0)}+\lambda \psi^{(1)}+\lambda^{2} \psi^{(2)}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

After substitution into the Schrödinger equation of $H, E$ and $\psi$ we can define approximations of increasing order.

## The Schrödinger equation becomes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\boldsymbol{H}^{(0)}+\lambda \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right)\left(\psi^{(0)}+\lambda \psi^{(1)}+\lambda^{2} \psi^{(2)}+\cdots\right)= \\
=\left(E^{(0)}+\lambda E^{(1)}+\lambda^{2} E^{(2)}+\cdots\right)\left(\psi^{(0)}+\lambda \psi^{(1)}+\lambda^{2} \psi^{(2)}+\cdots\right) \\
\left(\boldsymbol{H}^{(0)}+\lambda \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right)\left[\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{q} \psi^{(q)}\right]=\left[\sum_{q^{\prime}=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{q^{\prime}} E^{\left(q^{\prime}\right)}\right]\left[\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{q} \psi^{(q)}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

We can solve now order by order in $\lambda$. $0^{\text {th }}$ order)

$$
H^{(0)} \psi^{(0)}=E_{n}^{(0)} \psi^{(0)}
$$

This is the same as the unperturbed equation with solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{n}^{(0)} & =\varphi_{n}^{(0)} \\
E_{n}^{(0)} & =E_{n}^{(0)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The wave functions are orthogonal so that

$$
<\left.\varphi_{m}^{(0)}\left|\varphi_{n}^{(0)}>=\int \varphi^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \varphi(\mathbf{r}) d^{3} r=\int\right| \varphi(\mathbf{r})\right|^{2} d^{3} r=\delta_{m n}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\boldsymbol{H}^{(0)}+\lambda \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right)\left(\psi^{(0)}+\lambda \psi^{(1)} \ldots\right)= \\
& \left(E^{(0)}+\lambda E^{(1)} \ldots\right)\left(\psi^{(0)}+\lambda \psi^{(1)} \ldots\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{H}^{(0)} \psi^{(0)}+\lambda \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \psi^{(0)}+\boldsymbol{H}^{(0)} \lambda \psi^{(1)}+\lambda^{2} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \psi^{(1)} \\
& =E^{(0)} \psi^{(0)}+\lambda E^{(1)} \psi^{(0)}+E^{(0)} \lambda \psi^{(1)}+\lambda^{2} E^{(1)} \psi^{(1)} \\
& \boldsymbol{H}^{(0) \not \boldsymbol{H}^{(0)}}+\lambda \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \psi^{(0)}+\boldsymbol{H}^{(0)} \lambda \psi^{(1)}+\lambda^{2} \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \psi^{(1)} \\
& =E^{(0) \not \chi^{(0)}}+\lambda E^{(1)} \psi^{(0)}+E^{(0)} \lambda \psi^{(1)}+\lambda^{2} Z^{(1)} \psi^{(1)} \\
& \boldsymbol{H}^{(0)} \psi^{(1)}+\boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \psi^{(0)}=E^{(0)} \psi^{(1)}+E^{(1)} \psi^{(0)} \\
& \left(\boldsymbol{H}^{(0)}-E^{(0)}\right) \psi^{(1)}+\left(\boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}-E^{(1)}\right) \psi^{(0)}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{H}^{(0)}-E^{(0)}\right) \psi^{(1)}=\left(E^{(1)}-\boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\right) \psi^{(0)}
$$

The first order wave function perturbations $\psi^{(1)}$ can be expanded as linear combination of the unperturbed solutions, which are orthogonal with $<\varphi_{m}^{(0)} \mid \varphi_{n}^{(0)}>=\delta_{m n}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{n}^{(1)}=\sum_{m} a_{m n}^{(1)} \varphi_{m}^{(0)} \\
\left(H^{(0)}-E_{n}^{(0)}\right) \psi_{n}^{(1)}=E^{(1)} \varphi_{n}{ }^{(0)}-H^{\prime} \varphi_{n}{ }^{(0)}
\end{gathered}
$$

After multiplying by $\varphi_{m}^{(0) *}$ and integrating over space ("inner" product)

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{n}^{(1)}=H_{n n}^{\prime} \quad a_{m n}^{(1)}=\frac{H_{m n}^{\prime}}{E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{m}^{(0)}} \text { with } m \neq n \\
H_{m n}^{\prime}=\int \varphi_{m}^{(0) *} H^{\prime} \varphi_{n}^{(0)} d^{3} r
\end{gathered}
$$

Enforce normalization of the "perturbed" wave functions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int\left(\varphi_{n}^{(0)}+\sum_{m} a_{m n}^{(1)} \varphi_{m}^{(0)}\right)^{*}\left(\varphi_{n}^{(0)}+\sum_{m} a_{m n}^{(1)} \varphi_{m}^{(0)}\right) d^{3} r=1 \\
\int \varphi_{n}^{(0) *} \varphi_{n}^{(0)} d^{3} r+\int \varphi_{n}^{(0) *} \sum_{m} a_{m n}^{(1)} \varphi_{m}^{(0)} d^{3} r \\
+\int\left(\sum_{m} a_{m n}^{(1)} \varphi_{m}^{(0)}\right)^{*} \varphi_{n}^{(0)}+\int\left(\sum_{m} a_{m n}^{(1)} \varphi_{m}^{(0)}\right)^{*} \sum_{m} a_{m n}^{(1)} \varphi_{m}^{(0)} d^{3} r=1
\end{gathered}
$$

We are free to choose norm and phase, so that the wave functions are normalized and the inner products with $\varphi_{n}^{(0)}$ are real numbers. This implies that to first order $a_{n n}^{(1)}=0$.
[ This means $\left\langle\psi^{(0)} \mid \psi^{(0)}\right\rangle=1 \quad\left\langle\psi^{(0)} \mid \psi^{(1)}\right\rangle=\left\langle\psi^{(1)} \mid \psi^{(0)}\right\rangle=0$ ]

Finally, for first order perturbation we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{n}=\varphi_{n}^{(0)}+\sum_{m \neq n} \frac{H_{m n}^{\prime}}{E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{m}^{(0)}} \varphi_{n}^{(0)} \\
E_{n}=E_{n}^{(0)}+H_{n n}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

$2^{\text {nd }}$ order) $\quad \boldsymbol{H}^{(0)} \psi^{(2)}+\boldsymbol{H}^{\prime} \psi^{(1)}=E^{(0)} \psi^{(2)}+E^{(1)} \psi^{(1)}+E^{(2)} \psi^{(0)}$ In terms of zero-order solutions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{n}^{(2)}=\sum_{m} a_{m n}^{(2)} \varphi_{m}^{(0)} \\
E_{n}^{(2)}=\sum_{m \neq n} a_{m n}^{(1)} H_{m n}^{\prime}=\sum_{m \neq n} \frac{H_{m n}^{\prime} H_{n m}^{\prime}}{E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{m}^{(0)}} \\
a_{m n}^{(2)}=\sum_{k \neq n} \frac{H_{m k}^{\prime} H_{k n}^{\prime}}{\left(E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{m}^{(0)}\right)\left(E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{k}^{(0)}\right)}-\frac{H_{m n}^{\prime} H_{n n}^{\prime}}{\left(E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{m}^{(0)}\right)^{2}} \quad\{m \neq n\}
\end{gathered}
$$

We can again impose normalization and phase of the wave function at second order, which implies $\left\langle\psi^{(0)} \mid \psi^{(2)}\right\rangle=\left\langle\psi^{(2)} \mid \psi^{(0)}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\psi^{(1)} \mid \psi^{(1)}\right\rangle$ or

$$
a_{m n}^{(2)}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq n}\left|a_{m n}^{(1)}\right|^{2}
$$

In terms of zero-order solutions, $2^{\text {nd }}$ order perturbation results are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{n}=\varphi_{n}^{(0)}+\sum_{m \neq n} \frac{H_{m n}^{\prime}}{E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{m}^{(0)}} \varphi_{n}^{(0)} \\
+\sum_{m \neq n}\left\{\left[\sum_{k \neq n} \frac{H_{m k}^{\prime} H_{k n}^{\prime}}{\left(E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{m}^{(0)}\right)\left(E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{k}^{(0)}\right)}-\frac{H_{m n}^{\prime} H_{n n}^{\prime}}{\left(E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{m}^{(0)}\right)^{2}}\right] \varphi_{m}^{(0)}\right. \\
\left.-\frac{\left|H_{m n}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{2\left(E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{m}^{(0)}\right)^{2}} \varphi_{n}^{(0)}\right\} \\
E_{n}=E_{n}^{(0)}+H_{n n}^{\prime}+\sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\left|H_{n m}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{E_{n}^{(0)}-E_{m}^{(0)}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Simple example

Starting from an infinite quantum well, apply a potential perturbation as in the figure:

$$
H^{\prime}=V_{0} \quad 0<x<L / 2
$$



$$
E_{n}^{(1)}=H_{n n}^{\prime}=\int \varphi_{m}^{(0) *} H^{\prime} \varphi_{n}^{(0)} d^{3} r
$$

With reference $x=0$ set on the left wall, the wave functions are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{n}^{(0)}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \sin \frac{n \pi x}{L} d x \\
E_{n}^{(1)}=\frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{L / 2} V_{0} \sin ^{2} n \pi x L \\
=\frac{2 V_{0}}{L}\left\{-\frac{1}{2 \frac{n \pi}{a}} \cos \frac{n \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi x}{L}+\frac{x}{2}\right\}_{0}^{L / 2}=\frac{2 V_{0}}{L} \frac{L}{4}=\frac{V_{0}}{2} \\
E_{n} \approx E_{n}^{(0)}+\frac{V_{0}}{2} \\
\int \sin ^{2}(a x) d x=-\frac{1}{2 a} \cos (a x) \sin (a x)+\frac{x}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Time-dependent perturbation theory

Consider a physical system described by a time-independent Hamiltonian (assumed to be discrete and non-degenerate)

$$
H_{0} \varphi_{n}=E_{n} \varphi_{n}
$$

Suppose that at $t=0$ a time-dependent perturbation is applied to the system

$$
H(t \geq 0)=H_{0}+\lambda H^{\prime}
$$

where the parameter $\lambda \ll 1$. The system is initially in the state $\varphi_{i}$ which is an eigenstate of $H_{0}$ with eigenvalue $E_{i}$.

We are looking for the first-order approximation of the probability $P_{i j}(t)$ of finding the system in another eigenstate $\varphi_{f}$ of $H_{0}$ at time $t$.

The Schrödinger equation is

$$
i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)=H \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)=\left(H_{0}+\lambda H^{\prime}\right) \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)
$$

We assume to know the time-dependent solution for the unperturbed Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}, t)=H_{0} \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}, t) \\
\varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}, t)=\varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i E_{n} t / \hbar}
\end{gathered}
$$

Expand $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ in terms of the unperturbed eigensolutions

$$
\psi(\mathbf{r}, t)=\sum_{n} a_{n}(t) \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i E_{n} t / \hbar}
$$

dove $\left|a_{n}(t)\right|^{2}$ is probability for the electron to be in state $n$ at $t$

Substitute the expansion in Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\sum_{n} a_{n}(t) \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i E_{n} t / \hbar}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(H_{0}+\lambda H^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{n} a_{n}(t) \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i E_{n} t / \hbar}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

First term of equation above

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \hbar \sum_{n} \frac{d a_{n}(t)}{d t} \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i E_{n} t / \hbar}+\underbrace{i \hbar \sum_{n} a_{n}(t) \frac{d}{d t}\left(\varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i E_{n} t / \hbar}\right)}_{H_{0} \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}, t)} \\
\sum_{n} \frac{d a_{n}(t)}{d t} \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i E_{n} t / \hbar}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{n} \lambda H^{\prime}(\mathbf{r}, t) a_{n}(t) \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i E_{n} t / \hbar}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\sum_{n} \frac{d a_{n}(t)}{d t} \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i E_{n} t / \hbar}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{n} \lambda H^{\prime}(\mathbf{r}, t) a_{n}(t) \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i E_{n} t / \hbar}
$$

Take inner product with $\varphi_{m}^{*}(\mathbf{r})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d a_{m}(t)}{d t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} \lambda \sum_{n} a_{n}(t) H_{m n}^{\prime}(t) e^{-i\left(E_{m}-E_{n}\right) t / \hbar} \\
& H_{m n}^{\prime}(t)=\int \varphi_{m}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) H^{\prime}(\mathbf{r}, t) \varphi_{n}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now write the coefficients in the form of a power series
$a_{n}(t)=a_{n}^{(0)}(t)+\lambda a_{n}^{(1)}(t)+\lambda^{2} a_{n}^{(2)}(t)+\cdots$

We seek the solution to first order in $\lambda$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d a_{m}(t)}{d t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} \lambda \sum_{n} a_{n}(t) H_{m n}^{\prime}(t) e^{-i\left(E_{m}-E_{n}\right) t / \hbar} \\
& a_{n}(t)=a_{n}^{(0)}(t)+\lambda a_{n}^{(1)}(t)+\lambda^{2} a_{n}^{(2)}(t)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\frac{d a_{m}^{(0)}}{d t}=0
$$

$$
\frac{d a_{m}^{(1)}(t)}{d t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{n} a_{n}^{(0)}(t) H_{m n}^{\prime}(t) e^{-i\left(E_{m}-E_{n}\right) t / \hbar}
$$

$$
\frac{d a_{m}^{(2)}(t)}{d t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{n} a_{n}^{(1)}(t) H_{m n}^{\prime}(t) e^{-i\left(E_{m}-E_{n}\right) t / \hbar}
$$

## Fermi Golden Rule

We will use the main results of time-dependent perturbation theory to determine the transition probability from one state to another, due to an external perturbation.

Absorption


Stimulated Emission


The electron is at state " $i$ " initially. The zeroth-order solutions are constant and electron stays in that state in absence of perturbation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i}^{(0)}(t)=1 \\
& a_{m}^{(0)}(t)=0 \quad m \neq i
\end{aligned}
$$

The first order solution is obtained from

$$
\omega_{m i}=\frac{E_{m}-E_{i}}{\hbar}
$$

$\frac{d a_{m}^{(1)}(t)}{d t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{m i}^{\prime}(t) e^{-i\left(E_{m}-E_{i}\right) t / \hbar}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{m i}^{\prime}(t) e^{-i \omega_{m i} t}$
Assume time-dependent perturbation (e.g., photons) with form $H^{\prime}(\mathbf{r}, t)=H_{m}^{\prime}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i \omega t}+H_{m}^{\prime+}(\mathbf{r}) e^{i \omega t}$
$H_{m i}^{\prime}(t)=\int \varphi_{m}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) H^{\prime}(\mathbf{r}, t) \varphi_{i}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r}=H_{m i}^{\prime} e^{-i \omega t}+H_{m i}^{\prime+} e^{i \omega t}$

Initial state $n=i$

$$
\omega_{f i}=\frac{E_{f}-E_{i}}{\hbar}
$$

$\frac{d a_{m}^{(1)}(t)}{d t}=-\frac{i}{\hbar} H_{m i}^{\prime}(t) e^{-i \omega_{m i} t}$

$$
=-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(H_{m i}^{\prime} e^{i\left(\omega_{m i}-\omega\right) t}+H_{m i}^{\prime+} e^{i\left(\omega_{m i}+\omega\right) t}\right)
$$

Integrate equation between 0 and $t$ for a final state $m=f$

$$
a_{f}^{(1)}(t)=-\frac{1}{\hbar}\left[H_{f i}^{\prime} \frac{e^{i\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right) t}-1}{\omega_{f i}-\omega}+H_{f i}^{\prime+} \frac{e^{i\left(\omega_{f i}+\omega\right) t}-1}{\omega_{f i}+\omega}\right]
$$

The associated probability is

$$
\left|a_{f}^{(1)}(t)\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{\hbar^{2}}\left[H_{f i}^{\prime} \frac{e^{i\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right) t}-1}{\omega_{f i}-\omega}+H_{f i}^{\prime+} \frac{e^{i\left(\omega_{f i}+\omega\right) t}-1}{\omega_{f i}+\omega}\right]^{2}
$$

$$
\left|a_{f}^{(1)}(t)\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{\hbar^{2}}\left[H_{f i}^{\prime} \frac{e^{i\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right) t}-1}{\omega_{f i}-\omega}+H_{f i}^{\prime+} \frac{e^{i\left(\omega_{f i}+\omega\right) t}-1}{\omega_{f i}+\omega}\right]^{2}
$$

Using

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin x=\frac{1}{2 i}\left(e^{i x}-e^{-i x}\right) \\
& e^{-i\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right) t}-1=2 i e^{i \frac{\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right) t}{2}} \sin \frac{\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right) t}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left|a_{f}^{(1)}(t)\right|^{2}=\frac{4\left|H_{f i}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right) t}{2}}{\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right)^{2}}+\frac{4\left|H_{f i}^{\prime+}\right|^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{\left(\omega_{f i}+\omega\right) t}{2}}{\left(\omega_{f i}+\omega\right)^{2}}+\nVdash
$$

$$
\left|a_{f}^{(1)}(t)\right|^{2}=\frac{4\left|H_{f i}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right) t}{2}}{\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right)^{2}}+\frac{4\left|H_{f i}^{\prime+}\right|^{2}}{\hbar^{2}} \frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{\left(\omega_{f i}+\omega\right) t}{2}}{\left(\omega_{f i}+\omega\right)^{2}}
$$

For a sufficiently long interaction time

$$
\frac{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{x}{2} t\right)}{x^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{\pi t}{2} \delta(x)
$$

$$
\left|a_{f}^{(1)}(t)\right|^{2}=\frac{2 \pi t}{\hbar^{2}}\left|H_{f i}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \delta\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right)+\frac{2 \pi t}{\hbar^{2}}\left|H_{f i}^{\prime+}\right|^{2} \delta\left(\omega_{f i}+\omega\right)
$$

$$
\left|a_{f}^{(1)}(t)\right|^{2}=\frac{2 \pi t}{\hbar^{2}}\left|H_{f i}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \delta\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right)+\frac{2 \pi t}{\hbar^{2}}\left|H_{f i}^{\prime+}\right|^{2} \delta\left(\omega_{f i}+\omega\right)
$$

Using the property $\delta(\hbar \omega)=\delta(\omega) / \hbar$ the transition rate is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{i \rightarrow f}=\frac{d}{d t}\left|a_{f}^{(1)}(t)\right|^{2} \\
W_{i \rightarrow f}=\frac{2 \pi}{\hbar}\left|H_{f i}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \delta\left(E_{f}-E_{i}-\hbar \omega\right)+\frac{2 \pi}{\hbar}\left|H_{f i}^{\prime+}\right|^{2} \delta\left(E_{f}-E_{i}+\hbar \omega\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left|a_{f}^{(1)}(t)\right|^{2}=\frac{2 \pi t}{\hbar^{2}}\left|H_{f i}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \delta\left(\omega_{f i}-\omega\right)+\frac{2 \pi t}{\hbar^{2}}\left|H_{f i}^{\prime+}\right|^{2} \delta\left(\omega_{f i}+\omega\right)
$$

Using the property $\delta(\hbar \omega)=\delta(\omega) / \hbar$ the transition rate is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{i \rightarrow f}=\frac{d}{d t}\left|a_{f}^{(1)}(t)\right|^{2} \\
W_{i \rightarrow f}=\frac{2 \pi}{\hbar}\left|H_{f i}^{\prime}\right|^{2} \delta\left(E_{f}-E_{i}-\hbar \omega\right)+\frac{2 \pi}{\hbar}\left|H_{f i}^{\prime+}\right|^{2} \delta\left(E_{f}-E_{i}+\hbar \omega\right) \\
\uparrow \\
E_{f}=E_{i}+\hbar \omega \\
E_{f}=E_{i}-\hbar \omega
\end{gathered}
$$
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